Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

N-Crotylphthalimide

Marcos Flores-Alamo, María del Carmen Romero-Quiroz and lorge Morgado*

Facultad de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Coyoacán 04360, DF, Mexico

Correspondence e-mail: morgadomoreno@yahoo.com.mx

Received 27 October 2010; accepted 8 November 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 123 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.032; wR factor = 0.080; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.3.

In the title compound {systematic name: 2-[(*E*)-but-2-en-1-yl]isoindoline-1,3-dione}, $C_{12}H_{11}NO_2$, the phthalimide ring system is essentially planar, with a maximum deviation of 0.008 (1) Å, while the plane of the N-crotyl substituent is orthogonal to the phthalimide ring system, making a dihedral angle of 87.5 (1)°.

Related literature

For related structures, see: Warzecha, Görner & Griesbeck (2006): Warzecha, Lex & Griesbeck (2006); Mustaphi et al. (2001). For details of intermolecular interactions, see: Desiraju (1991); Steiner (2002); Etter et al. (1990). For the synthesis of N-crotylphtalimide, see: Roberts & Mazur (1951); Mowery et al. (2007).



Experimental

Crystal data

$C_{12}H_{11}NO_2$	V = 1002.03 (8) Å ³
$M_r = 201.22$	Z = 4
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 8.1880 (4) Å	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 12.0830 (5) Å	T = 123 K
c = 10.8080 (5) Å	$0.36 \times 0.32 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 110.431 \ (4)^{\circ}$	

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Gemini Atlas CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: analytical (CrysAlis RED; Oxford Diffraction, 2009) $T_{\min} = 0.973, T_{\max} = 0.983$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$ $wR(F^2) = 0.080$ S = 1.061959 reflections

7022 measured reflections 1959 independent reflections 1669 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.016$

137 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-1}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.19 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Data collection: CrysAlis CCD (Oxford Diffraction (2009); cell refinement: CrysAlis RED (Oxford Diffraction 2009); data reduction: CrvsAlis RED: program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

The authors express their gratitude to the Department of Inorganic Chemistry and Nuclear, Facultad de Química-UNAM, for the facilities to perform the experimental work.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IS2623).

References

- Desiraju, G. R. (1991). Acc. Chem. Res. 24, 290-296.
- Etter, M. C., MacDonald, J. C. & Bernstein, J. (1990). Acta Cryst. B46, 256-262
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565. Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Mowery, B. P., Lee, S. E., Kissounko, D. A., Epand, R. F., Epand, R. M., Weisblum, B., Stahl, S. S. & Gellman, S. H. (2007). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129, 15474-15476.
- Mustaphi, N. E., Ferfra, S., Essassi, E. M. & Pierrot, M. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, o176-o177.
- Oxford Diffraction (2009). CrysAlis CCD and CrysAlis RED. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, England.

Roberts, J. D. & Mazur, R. H. (1951). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72, 2509-2520.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Steiner, T. (2002). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41, 48-76.
- Warzecha, K.-D., Görner, H. & Griesbeck, A. G. (2006). J. Phys. Chem. A, 110, 3356-3363
- Warzecha, K.-D., Lex, J. & Griesbeck, A. G. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 05445-05447.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o3209 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810046039]

N-Crotylphthalimide

M. Flores-Alamo, M. del C. Romero-Quiroz and J. Morgado

Comment

Structure-activity relationship studies on *N*-allylphthalimide derivatives indicated that the influence of the allylic substituent on the photophysical and electrochemical properties of the phthalimide chromophore, which plays an important role as an electron acceptor in photo-induced electron-transfer reactions (Warzecha, Görner & Griesbeck, 2006).

The structure of *N*-crotylphthalimide (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The 2-butenyl substituent at the imide N atom of the planar phthalimide adopts an antiperiplanar conformation with torsion angles C1—N1—C9—C10 and N1—C9—C10—C11 of 92.53 and -131.59 (1)°; to difference of the orientation synperiplanar of *N*-Allylphthalimide (Mustaphi *et al.*, 2001; Warzecha, Lex & Griesbeck, 2006). The phthalimide ring system is essentially planar, with a maximum deviation of 0.008 (1)Å for atom C1 and dihedral angle of 0.13 to 1.39 (1)°, for other hand, the dihedral angle of 92.53 (1)° evidence that the *N*-crotyl group is orthogonal to the phthalimide ring plane.

In the crystal structure, the intermolecular contact of 2.649 (1) Å between each molecule features pairs of C_{vinyl} —H and O=C bonds (Desiraju, 1991; Steiner, 2002) to its neighbours related by the symmetry operations x,-y + 1/2,+z + 1/2 and x,-y + 1/2,+z - 1/2 mainly. These interactions of van der Waals lead to infinite ribbons of R^2_2 (13) motifs (Etter & MacDonald, 1990), as illustrated in Fig. 2. The ribbons run in the direction of the crystallographic *c* axis.

Experimental

N-crotylphtalimide (I) was prepared according to the procedure reported (Roberts & Mazur, 1951). Under argon, crotyl bromide (85% pure; 25 g; 157.4 mmol) was added with stirring at room temperature to a white suspension of potassium phthalimide (43.7 g; 236 mmol) in dimethylformamide (150 ml). The mixture was heated at 120° C for 30 minutes and then at 160° C for an additional 30 minutes. The hot mixture was poured over 50 g of ice-water and extracted with chloroform (4×20 ml). The combined extracts were washed successively with 1 N NaOH, water, 0.5 N HCl and again with water. The chloroform solution was dried over MgSO₄ and filtered.

Refinement

H atoms attached to C atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and refined as riding on their parent atoms, with C—H = 0.93–0.97 Å, and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ or $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for methyl groups.

Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure and the atom-labelling scheme for (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as circles of arbitrary size.



Fig. 2. Intermolecular contacts of van der Waals (dashed lines) in the crystal structure of (I), forming cross-linked ribbons of R^2_2 (13) motifs.

2-[(*E*)-but-2-en-1-yl]isoindoline-1,3-dione

Crystal data

C ₁₂ H ₁₁ NO ₂	F(000) = 424
$M_r = 201.22$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.334 {\rm ~Mg~m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
a = 8.1880 (4) Å	Cell parameters from 4695 reflections
b = 12.0830 (5) Å	$\theta = 3.8 - 26.0^{\circ}$
c = 10.8080 (5) Å	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 110.431 \ (4)^{\circ}$	T = 123 K
$V = 1002.03 (8) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, colorless
Z = 4	$0.36 \times 0.32 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Gemini Atlas CCD diffractometer	1959 independent reflections
graphite	1669 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Detector resolution: 10.4685 pixels mm ⁻¹	$R_{\rm int} = 0.016$
ω scans	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.1^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.9^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: analytical (<i>CrysAlis RED</i> ; Oxford Diffraction, 2009)	$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$
$T_{\min} = 0.973, \ T_{\max} = 0.983$	$k = -14 \rightarrow 13$
7022 measured reflections	$l = -13 \rightarrow 10$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
Least-squares matrix: full
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$
$wR(F^2) = 0.080$
<i>S</i> = 1.06
1959 reflections
137 parameters
0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0389P)^2 + 0.204P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.20$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.19$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All s.u.'s (except the s.u. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell s.u.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of s.u.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between s.u.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell s.u.'s is used for estimating s.u.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$	
O1	0.32549 (11)	0.11878 (7)	0.22557 (8)	0.0293 (2)	
02	-0.00316 (11)	0.09982 (7)	-0.21251 (8)	0.0299 (2)	
N1	0.13954 (11)	0.13240 (7)	0.00921 (9)	0.0201 (2)	
C3	0.21517 (14)	-0.02776 (9)	-0.07262 (11)	0.0200 (2)	
C1	0.26809 (13)	0.08188 (9)	0.11487 (10)	0.0202 (2)	
C8	0.31372 (14)	-0.02229 (9)	0.06096 (11)	0.0200 (2)	
C7	0.43062 (14)	-0.10496 (10)	0.12205 (12)	0.0260 (3)	
H7	0.4963	-0.1017	0.2116	0.031*	
C11	0.19618 (14)	0.42523 (9)	0.04805 (11)	0.0237 (3)	
H11	0.175	0.4284	0.1271	0.028*	
C2	0.10193 (14)	0.07222 (9)	-0.10729 (10)	0.0204 (2)	
C12	0.27941 (15)	0.52388 (9)	0.01158 (12)	0.0276 (3)	
H12A	0.303	0.5085	-0.0677	0.041*	
H12B	0.3866	0.5405	0.0819	0.041*	
H12C	0.2022	0.5862	-0.0029	0.041*	
C4	0.23032 (15)	-0.11498 (9)	-0.15043 (12)	0.0259 (3)	
H4	0.1643	-0.1181	-0.24	0.031*	
C9	0.05901 (14)	0.23939 (9)	0.01554 (11)	0.0229 (3)	
H9A	0.0605	0.2512	0.1047	0.027*	
H9B	-0.0617	0.2379	-0.0429	0.027*	
C6	0.44640 (15)	-0.19339 (10)	0.04444 (13)	0.0307 (3)	
H6	0.5239	-0.2503	0.0829	0.037*	
C5	0.34873 (16)	-0.19817 (10)	-0.08913 (13)	0.0307 (3)	
Н5	0.3625	-0.258	-0.1387	0.037*	
C10	0.15001 (14)	0.33373 (9)	-0.02266 (11)	0.0217 (3)	
H10	0.1753	0.3277	-0.0999	0.026*	
Atomic displa	acement parameters $(Å^2)$)			
	<i>U</i> ¹¹ <i>U</i>	22 I^{33}	L/ ¹²	1113	1/23

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U ²³
01	0.0324 (5)	0.0331 (5)	0.0201 (4)	-0.0027 (4)	0.0062 (4)	-0.0045 (3)
O2	0.0310 (5)	0.0331 (5)	0.0208 (4)	0.0034 (4)	0.0031 (4)	0.0009 (3)

supplementary materials

N1	0.0217 (5)	0.0184 (5)	0.0202 (5)	0.0006 (4)	0.0073 (4)	-0.0009 (4)
C3	0.0203 (5)	0.0184 (5)	0.0235 (6)	-0.0041 (4)	0.0106 (4)	0.0005 (4)
C1	0.0197 (5)	0.0218 (6)	0.0201 (6)	-0.0037 (4)	0.0081 (5)	0.0013 (4)
C8	0.0188 (5)	0.0194 (6)	0.0239 (6)	-0.0039 (4)	0.0099 (4)	0.0015 (4)
C7	0.0210 (6)	0.0256 (6)	0.0312 (6)	-0.0014 (5)	0.0088 (5)	0.0071 (5)
C11	0.0219 (6)	0.0254 (6)	0.0243 (6)	0.0029 (5)	0.0084 (5)	0.0019 (5)
C2	0.0199 (5)	0.0215 (6)	0.0204 (6)	-0.0036 (4)	0.0079 (5)	0.0001 (4)
C12	0.0249 (6)	0.0228 (6)	0.0335 (7)	0.0003 (5)	0.0083 (5)	0.0007 (5)
C4	0.0304 (6)	0.0220 (6)	0.0293 (6)	-0.0064 (5)	0.0154 (5)	-0.0039 (5)
C9	0.0228 (6)	0.0199 (6)	0.0278 (6)	0.0023 (4)	0.0112 (5)	-0.0013 (4)
C6	0.0254 (6)	0.0206 (6)	0.0503 (8)	0.0025 (5)	0.0185 (6)	0.0080 (5)
C5	0.0360 (7)	0.0181 (6)	0.0470 (8)	-0.0027 (5)	0.0259 (6)	-0.0035 (5)
C10	0.0202 (5)	0.0219 (6)	0.0242 (6)	0.0033 (4)	0.0093 (5)	0.0021 (4)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O1—C1	1.2076 (13)	C11—H11	0.93
O2—C2	1.2091 (13)	C12—H12A	0.96
N1—C2	1.3923 (14)	C12—H12B	0.96
N1-C1	1.3948 (14)	C12—H12C	0.96
N1—C9	1.4637 (14)	C4—C5	1.3931 (17)
C3—C4	1.3807 (15)	C4—H4	0.93
С3—С8	1.3880 (16)	C9—C10	1.4966 (15)
C3—C2	1.4888 (15)	С9—Н9А	0.97
C1—C8	1.4885 (15)	С9—Н9В	0.97
C8—C7	1.3815 (16)	C6—C5	1.3860 (18)
С7—С6	1.3920 (17)	С6—Н6	0.93
С7—Н7	0.93	С5—Н5	0.93
C11-C10	1.3220 (16)	C10—H10	0.93
C11—C12	1.4926 (16)		
C2—N1—C1	112.17 (9)	H12A—C12—H12B	109.5
C2—N1—C9	122.86 (9)	C11—C12—H12C	109.5
C1—N1—C9	124.88 (9)	H12A—C12—H12C	109.5
C4—C3—C8	121.78 (10)	H12B—C12—H12C	109.5
C4—C3—C2	130.28 (10)	C3—C4—C5	117.17 (11)
C8—C3—C2	107.94 (9)	С3—С4—Н4	121.4
01—C1—N1	124.83 (10)	С5—С4—Н4	121.4
O1—C1—C8	129.49 (10)	N1—C9—C10	112.59 (9)
N1-C1-C8	105.68 (9)	N1—C9—H9A	109.1
C7—C8—C3	121.13 (10)	С10—С9—Н9А	109.1
C7—C8—C1	130.62 (10)	N1—C9—H9B	109.1
C3—C8—C1	108.25 (9)	С10—С9—Н9В	109.1
C8—C7—C6	117.49 (11)	Н9А—С9—Н9В	107.8
С8—С7—Н7	121.3	C5—C6—C7	121.23 (11)
С6—С7—Н7	121.3	С5—С6—Н6	119.4
C10-C11-C12	125.45 (11)	С7—С6—Н6	119.4
C10-C11-H11	117.3	C6—C5—C4	121.20 (11)
C12-C11-H11	117.3	С6—С5—Н5	119.4
O2-C2-N1	124.52 (10)	С4—С5—Н5	119.4

129.55 (10)	C11—C10—C9	123.16 (10)
105.93 (9)	C11—C10—H10	118.4
109.5	С9—С10—Н10	118.4
109.5		
178.65 (10)	C1—N1—C2—C3	1.06 (12)
2.11 (17)	C9—N1—C2—C3	177.68 (9)
-1.51 (12)	C4—C3—C2—O2	-0.1 (2)
-178.05 (9)	C8—C3—C2—O2	179.95 (11)
-0.57 (16)	C4—C3—C2—N1	179.84 (11)
179.40 (9)	C8—C3—C2—N1	-0.13 (11)
179.26 (10)	C8—C3—C4—C5	0.25 (16)
-0.77 (11)	C2—C3—C4—C5	-179.71 (10)
1.02 (19)	C2-N1-C9-C10	-83.65 (12)
-178.81 (11)	C1—N1—C9—C10	92.53 (12)
-178.78 (11)	C8—C7—C6—C5	0.12 (17)
1.39 (11)	C7—C6—C5—C4	-0.43 (17)
0.37 (16)	C3—C4—C5—C6	0.24 (16)
-179.42 (10)	C12-C11-C10-C9	-176.94 (10)
-179.01 (10)	N1-C9-C10-C11	-131.59 (11)
-2.39 (16)		
	129.55 (10) $105.93 (9)$ 109.5 109.5 $178.65 (10)$ $2.11 (17)$ $-1.51 (12)$ $-178.05 (9)$ $-0.57 (16)$ $179.40 (9)$ $179.26 (10)$ $-0.77 (11)$ $1.02 (19)$ $-178.81 (11)$ $-178.78 (11)$ $1.39 (11)$ $0.37 (16)$ $-179.42 (10)$ $-179.01 (10)$ $-2.39 (16)$	129.55(10) $C11-C10-C9$ $105.93(9)$ $C11-C10-H10$ 109.5 $C9-C10-H10$ 109.5 $C1-N1-C2-C3$ $178.65(10)$ $C1-N1-C2-C3$ $2.11(17)$ $C9-N1-C2-C3$ $-1.51(12)$ $C4-C3-C2-O2$ $-1.51(12)$ $C4-C3-C2-O2$ $-0.57(16)$ $C4-C3-C2-N1$ $179.40(9)$ $C8-C3-C4-C5$ $-0.77(11)$ $C2-C3-C4-C5$ $1.02(19)$ $C2-N1-C9-C10$ $-178.81(11)$ $C1-N1-C9-C10$ $-178.78(11)$ $C8-C7-C6-C5$ $1.39(11)$ $C7-C6-C5-C4$ $0.37(16)$ $C3-C4-C5-C6$ $-179.42(10)$ $C12-C11-C10-C9$ $-179.01(10)$ $N1-C9-C10-C11$ $-2.39(16)$ $C11-C10-C11$

Fig. 1





Fig. 2